Surgery guided by 5-aminolevulinic fluorescence in glioblastoma: volumetric analysis of extent of resection in single-center experience
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ABSTRACT
We analyzed the efficacy and applicability of surgery guided by 5-aminolevulinic acid (ALA) fluorescence in consecutive patients with glioblastoma multiforme (GBM). Thirty-six patients with GBM were operated on using ALA fluorescence. Resections were performed using the fluorescent light to assess the right plane of dissection. In each case, biopsies with different fluorescent quality were taken from the tumor center, from the edges, and from the surrounding tissue. These samples were analyzed separately with hematoxylin–eosin examination and immunostaining against Ki67. Tumor volume was quantified with pre- and postoperative volumetric magnetic resonance imaging. Strong fluorescence identified solid tumor with 100% positive predictive value. Invaded tissue beyond the solid tumor mass was identified by vague fluorescence with 97% positive predictive value and 66% negative predictive value, measured against hematoxylin–eosin examination. All the contrast-enhancing volume was resected in 83.3% of the patients, all patients had resection over 98% of the volume and mean volume resected was 99.8%. One month after surgery there was no mortality, and new or increased neurological morbidity was 8.2%. The fluorescence induced by 5-aminolevulinic can help to achieve near total resection of enhancing tumor volume in most surgical cases of GBM. It is possible during surgery to obtain separate samples of the infiltrating cells from the tumor border.
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INTRODUCTION

The value of cytoreductive surgery in GBM is still extensively debated. Most of the recent literature agrees that resection of all the gadolinium-enhancing tumor verified by early magnetic resonance image (MRI) is a predictor of survival, but the evidence is weak, as it is mostly based on retrospective, observational studies [1–3]. The benefit shown could be a consequence of the treatment or the effect of smaller, superficial, non-eloquent tumors in younger patients having better prognosis from the beginning and greater resections. However, in 2008, analysis of data from a randomized controlled trial (RCT) using the 5-aminolevulinic (ALA) fluorescence-guided resection (FGR) provided level 2b evidence supporting the influence of total resection on survival [4].

Objective measurement of residual tumor after surgery is still missing in many neurooncology trials, and the published rates of gross total resection (GTR) are low. A recent review cited only three papers publishing volumetric analysis of the extent of resection with more than 75 GBM cases [3]. In those studies, the frequency of verified GTR was 21, 33, and 49% (last figure for resections over 98%).

New techniques are needed to improve resection rates. A RCT by the ALA study group in Germany proved the benefit of ALA-induced FGR in GBM [5]. The 65 versus 36% GTR rate achieved was enough to achieve significance. In this trial, an exclusion criteria was “tumor location did not enable complete resection of contrast-enhancing tumor as decided by individual study surgeon”. That criterion can leave some doubt about the applicability of the technique, and residual tumor was still found in 35% of cases judged to be resectable. We think this does not reflect the true potential of the technique. In that study, some centers performed better, with GTR over 80% (W. Stummer, personal communication, unpublished data). We studied the applicability and potential of ALA-guided surgery in a series of consecutive patients diagnosed of GBM in our center.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

From October 2007 to June 2009, we screened for surgery with ALA all patients consulting in our center whose MRI were suggestive of GBM.

Resectability was decided over contrast-enhanced MRI (T1Gd MRI) images. A patient was considered a candidate for surgery if T1Gd MRI showed a definite, unilateral mass not invading thalamus or brain stem. In some cases with small satellite nodules or diffuse enhancing tissue affecting eloquent areas, surgery was advised if more than 90% of the T1Gd volume could be included in the target volume. Flair and T2 sequences were reviewed for evidence of big diffuse extension, in doubtful and in recurrent cases, C11-methionine positron emission tomography (met-PET) was done to precisely measure the tumor extension.

We excluded from surgery patients with bilateral tumor, multiple distant lesions, subependimal spreading or tumors with small contrast-enhancing lesions and very extensive diffuse component.
We did not exclude patients with T1Gd-enhancing mass that reached the ventricle or the corpus callosum if it did not have bilateral or subependimal spreading in T1Gd sequence.

All cases were planned with the Brainlab navigation system. The T1Gd volume was manually segmented in BrainLab station and measured with the iplan cranial 2.6 software. The neurosurgeon responsible for the case drew the abnormal enhancing area in each MRI slice; the final volume included all the enhancing area and the necrotic tissue within it. This was the preoperative tumor volume used in the volumetric calculations. In two cases, the target for surgery excluded small parts (0.25 and 0.2 ml) of the enhancing volume.

We counted as newly diagnosed GBM cases the patients receiving their first operation or having a previous biopsy or partial resection in another center, but no previous radiotherapy nor chemotherapy, Patients with tumors recurrent after at least radiotherapy or chemotherapy treatment were grouped as recurrent GBM.

The patient management was standard except for the oral administration of 20 mg/kg 5-aminolevulinic acid 2–4 h before surgery, as published [6]. All surgeries were performed with a Zeiss Pentero microscope equipped with a fluorescent 400 nm UV light and filters. BrainLab navigation was used in every case, but when PET was available, the met-PET was fused to the MRI in the Brainlab station and both MRI and PET were used during surgery. Intraoperative MRI was not used.

In all cases, we worked following the border of the tumor, keeping the dissection just outside it. We performed debulking of the tumor only if it was necessary to achieve a relaxed brain. When possible, we evacuated CSF from arachnoid cisterns before doing debulking. In cases that needed debulking, we went back to the border dissection as soon as the brain was relaxed enough. Ultrasonic aspiration was not used. We worked most of the time under the normal white light, but switched frequently to the UV light to assess the limit of the tumor with the fluorescent light and establish the right dissection plane.

During surgery, we used the different fluorescent qualities described previously [7]: solid fluorescence (bright red), vague fluorescence (shades of pink) and non-fluorescent tissue (blue), to obtain separate samples from the center of the tumor, from the vague fluorescence regions at the edges of the tumor, and from the non-fluorescent tissue adjacent to it (Fig. 1b, c). These samples were sent for separate analysis including optical microscope examination and Ki67. In all cases, at least one sample was taken from the most peripheral part of tumor shown, the last fluorescent millimeters, and from the first millimeters of normal looking tissue. One experienced neuropathologist (M.I.G.), who was blinded to the fluorescent or non-fluorescent quality of the tissue, analyzed all the samples. This study includes only the patients diagnosed of GBM by WHO criteria.

We obtained pre- and post-contrast MRI less than 72 h after surgery in every case, most cases before 36 h. Post-operative MRI was exported to the Brainlab station, and precontrast and postcontrast T1 images were compared. Although the diffuse nature of GBM is well known, the previous work on the benefit of surgical resection has been made using the T1Gd volume, which is the present target of surgery, so we used only
this volume. Enhancing areas were considered tumor except for obvious vessel images. The residual tumor volume was segmented manually in each slice and measured by the iplan cranial 2.6 software.

Two neuroradiologists (R.G.E. and P.D.E.) measured the residual tumor. Mean interobserver difference in the residual tumor volume measurements was 0.14 ml (0–1.9 ml), the mean interobserver variability was 56% of the residual tumor volume. The mean variability amounts to 0.2% of the preoperative volume. After review of the discrepancies, both observers agreed in the cases which were considered GTR; the mean of both measurements was used for the rest. Resection was calculated as percent change of residual tumor over preoperative T1Gd volume in all cases.

Neurological evaluation was used to measure clinical status before surgery, at 1 week and 1 month after surgery.

After surgery, first-line patients received radiotherapy plus temozolomide treatment, except patient no. 9 who refused. Recurrent patients and most first-line at progression received irinotecan plus bevacizumab. No patient had reoperation.

All patients gave informed consent for the study procedure.

RESULTS

Surgery

Of the patient with suspected high grade glioma evaluated by the recruiting neurosurgeons during the study period, 7 patients had biopsy only (4 GBM, 2 lymphoma, 1 anaplastic astrocitoma), and 42 had resection surgery. Of 42 patients undergoing resection, 36 were GBM and are the basis for this report, while 6 had different grade three gliomas. In total, 90% of all the GBM underwent resection surgery. Of the 36 cases, 28 were newly diagnosed cases and 8 patients had recurrent tumors. Table 1 summarizes the clinical data of the patients.

We could differentiate in all cases the three different fluorescent qualities, with neat distinction of the solid mass of the tumor in bright red, and the border in shades of pink. The pink areas were variable from one case to another and from some regions of the tumor to others, but in every case, some border area could be identified. In the tumors that reached the ventricle, there was always a wide surrounding pink area and the ventricular wall was at least partly fluorescent. The recurrent tumors had wider pink areas and less intense bright in the center. When radionecrosis was present, bright spots of viable tissue were mixed with necrotic, non-fluorescent tissue.

Histology

Table 2 summarizes the pathological diagnosis of the samples. A total of 72 samples with bright, red fluorescence were analyzed and corresponded always to solid tumor with the diagnostic criteria of GBM. A total of 73 samples from the edge of the pink
areas were analyzed. In 2 small samples with very slight fluorescence from the same patient, the tissue was normal. In the remaining 71, the tissue was abnormal and 90% of the times, these areas had pathological features different from the core of the tumor. The biopsies were included in one spectrum going from highly cellular, with mitosis and atypical features, to only a slight increase in cellularity (Fig. 1d). The tumor cells at the edge looked less atypical than the tumor cells in the center of the lesion. Taken out of context, these would have been diagnosed as low grade astrocytoma.

A total of 36 samples were taken from the non-fluorescent tissue adjacent to the last fluorescent pieces. It was difficult to assess the presence of tumor in these areas, and 66% were diagnosed as normal tissue by routine hematoxylin–eosin. In the pathological 33%, the tissue was classified as abnormal on the basis of isolated atypical cells or a slight increase in cell density (Fig. 1f).

Using hematoxylin–eosin as the gold standard, red samples had 100% positive predictive value (PPV) for solid tumor, pink samples 97% PPV for tumor, and non-fluorescent samples had 66% negative predictive value (NPV) for tumor. There was significant correlation between fluorescence and histology with $P < 0.001$ by Spearman test.

**Immunohistochemical study**

Proliferative index was assessed by Ki 67 (Fig. 1g–i). The index of marked cells was 23.94 [confidence intervals (CI) 95% 15–33] in the central areas; 6.23 (CI 95% 4–9) in the vague fluorescence areas; and 1.67 (CI 95% 0.9–2.5) in the blue areas. The differences between red and pink and pink and blue areas were significant at $P < 0.001$ by $t$ test (Fig. 2). Only 31% of the normal-looking areas were negative with Ki 67.

**Radiology**

The mean preoperative tumor volume was 51.18 ml (7.3–110). Total resection was achieved in 83.3% of the cases (30/36). The resection was greater than 98% of preoperative tumor volume in all the cases. Mean resection in the 36 patients was 99.8%. The mean postoperative tumor volume in the six cases with remnants was 0.56 ml (0.21–1.8). Figure 3 shows some illustrative cases.

**Safety**

There was no mortality at 1 month in our series. We had a new neurological deficit after surgery in 11.1% of our patients, and another 13.8% had worsening of a previous deficit. At 1 month, six out of these nine patients had recovered and only three patients (8.2%) were worse than before surgery, while 13 (36.1%) had recovered from preoperative deficits, and were better than before (Table 3). The new deficits at 1 month were a left hemiparesis, a left leg paresis and a dysphasia.
Survival

Mean KPS was 70 and mean age was 58. Cumulative survival duration and progression-free survival from the time of surgery at our institution was computed using the Kaplan–Meier method. Survival was analyzed separately for first treatment and recurrent cases. Mean progression-free survival for newly diagnosed GBM was 6.5 months (95% CI 3.8–9.2) and for recurrent cases 5.3 months (95% CI 4.4–6.2), Fig. 4 shows the Kaplan–Meier curve. Median survival for newly diagnosed GBM was 15.7 months (95% CI 5.3–26.1) and for recurrent GBM, 7.9 months (95% CI 7.2–18.4), Fig. 5 shows the Kaplan–Meier curve.

DISCUSSION

Despite limitations in the quality of data, growing evidence suggests that more extensive surgical resection is associated with longer life expectancy for GBMs in retrospective papers [1, 2], literature review, [3] and a cohort study [4]. Volumetric analysis suggests that resection correlates with longer survival only if it exceeds 90% of tumor volume measured as T1Gd, and the benefit is maximal when resection is more than 98% [2]. Data from the EORTC-NCIC trial show that the benefit from radiotherapy (RT) plus temozolomide (TMZ) is greater in patients with GTR [8]. GTR should be the goal in every patient, if it can be done with a low risk of permanent neurological deficit. Early MRI should be performed in every case, to provide an adequate prognosis for the individual and to stratify patients properly in clinical trials for new therapies. A recent review [3] cited only three papers with volumetric measurement of residual tumor by post-operative MRI, and more than 75 cases with frequency of verified total resection of 23% [9], 33% [10], and 49% (this for resections over 98% of tumor volume) [2]. Other studies with resection measured by postoperative MRI, but smaller number of cases or non-volumetric analysis, have published similar rates of GTR, with best rates around 35% [11, 12], so a majority of the patients do not get GTR. This leaves the question as to how to improve the rate of GTR.

Fluorescence-guided resection of GBM using ALA is a technique developed in 1998 [6, 7]. Using ALA FGR offers real time viewing of the tumor in the operative field so we thought this system should allow us to resect almost all the tumor in most cases. Our results support this hypothesis as 83% of our patients had no residual tumor, mean volume resected was 99.8%, and all our patients got more than 98% resection, the limit for maximum benefit found in the largest series to date [2]. Our patients are representative of a good number of GBM cases, as the only criteria for inclusion in this study was resection surgery and the criteria for surgery used were wide. We tried to use indications for resection which were wide and reproducible, although a totally objective evaluation is not possible. Only 10% of the cases in the study period had not had resection surgery. We are a private center in a country with free public health care and many of our patients are second opinion cases rejected for surgery in other hospitals. The mean volume was 51 ml, mean KPS was 70 and mean age was 58, so this was not an especially favorable group of patients.

We made a parallel pathological study to verify the correlation of fluorescence and histology. The 100% positive predictive value (PPV) for solid tumor, 97% PPV for
invasive areas in the border, and 66% negative predictive value (NPV) of non-fluorescent tissue, provides a rational, very solid foundation for the efficacy of the technique. We found no significant difference in biopsies of recurrent tumors; a recent paper also found a good correlation in recurrence [13]. The neurosurgeon can be sure that all the bright red tissue is solid tumor, without normal parenchyma so it can be resected, even near eloquent areas, and the vague fluorescence areas correspond with areas where tumor cells infiltrate brain tissue, the interface between tumor and brain. In eloquent areas. This vague fluorescence was not resected, and mostly was not visible in postoperative-MRI, as published previously [6], suggesting that vague fluorescent tissue is outside the gadolinium-enhancing border of the tumor.

For the first time, the neurosurgeons have a tool which allows them to see the border of heavy infiltration, and these areas can be resected where not eloquent, which could allow for more extensive GTR that the actual standard targeting of the T1Gd area. It remains to be seen whether this extended GTR will give some additional benefit regarding survival. A recent report found that GTR verified by PET can impact survival more than total GTR verified by MRI [14]. A correlation may exist between ALA fluorescence and amino acid-PET [15].

In our non-fluorescent biopsies, the 66% NPV changed dramatically when we studied the samples with Ki-67 showing tumor cells beyond our “extended GTR” in 69% of the cases. This reminds us that any surgical border in GBM is going to leave residual cells. The invasive cells surrounded by normal tissue are different from the center so the response to treatment could be different [16]. To be successful, any adjuvant therapy should target these remaining cells. The ability to see the border also offers an opportunity for selective sampling of the invading cells in good quantity and in all cases. The study of these cells could offer new insights into the mechanism of this disease.

This study was not designed to evaluate survival, yet the data of PFS and OS compare favorably with reference series in GBM [17].

Greater resections can entail increased risk for the patient. With ALA fluorescence, we actually “see” the tumor, including diffuse infiltrating areas where the tumor cells mix with normal brain. We do not think fluorescence here is a problem but an advantage; this is an old problem for neurosurgeons which is improved by a new tool, which helps them to know which areas are solid tumor and which are diffuse invasion. If the tumor approaches an eloquent area, the vague fluorescence should be left behind or the surgery may be performed cautiously with neurophysiological monitoring, which can be used at the same time that ALA surgery with good results [18]. Our immediate neurological morbidity was high at 25%, but the majority of the deficits were transitory; at 1 month, it was 8.2%. In the Glioma Outcome Project, neurological morbidity at 21 days was 8.1% for first craniotomy and 18% for second [19]. Having more complete resection allows for less edema and less risk of bleeding postoperatively; we did not have any postoperative bleeding, postoperative symptomatic edema, or new postoperative seizures.

Neurosurgeons have looked for years for techniques to see the tumor in the field, most of which have never achieved wide usage. To our knowledge, this is the only system
tried in a randomized study. At this moment, FGR could be compared to intraoperative MRI (iMRI) and to other tumor-staining techniques.

Using iMRI with low field iMRI, 22 out of 28 GBM patients (78%) had GTR in a recent report [20]. Mean volumetric resection of 94% [21] and 99% in GBM [22] have been achieved with high field magnets. A comparison between iMRI and FGR with evaluation of benefit and cost of each system would be interesting but is beyond the scope of this paper.

Recent reports have given credit to two other fluorescent systems, sodium fluorescein and 5-aminofluorescein coupled to albumin. Fluorescein sodium has been used with encouraging results, although no randomized study has been completed [23–25]. In high doses, this has the advantage of not requiring special equipment. The authors have reported GTR rates of 83% [24], and 84% [25], but in selected cases and without volumetric data on the residues. The histological data are poor, since only four patients are included, and this technique is found to be less precise than ALA in visualizing the invasive border versus the “normal” area around the tumor.

In the report on the use of 5-aminofluorescein-labeled albumin, the authors claim that their 81.8% GTR rate compares very favorably with the earlier 65% found in the RCT concerning ALA. Their data have only 10 GBM cases with 70% verified resection and 1 case with control MRI not done. The present data compare favorably in resection, and in particular, the correlation with the histology results is much greater [26].

CONCLUSIONS

With the limitations of the small size and single center nature of our data, the fluorescence produced by 5-aminolevulinic is a highly efficient and safe method of achieving maximal safe resection of GBM. Using this system, a majority of patients could have GTR, the only tumor remnants should be very small areas near eloquent tissue.

With this technique, it is possible to obtain separate samples of the infiltrating cells of the border of the tumor during every surgery, which could be useful for new treatments needed in this disease.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>KPS</th>
<th>Functional location grade&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Resection (%)</th>
<th>Residual tumor cc</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>PFS</th>
<th>OS</th>
<th>Status&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Adjuvant therapy&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>R, T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>DO</td>
<td>R, T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>R, IB&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>R, T, IB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>R, T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>R. GBM</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>IB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>R. GBM</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>T&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>&gt;22.6</td>
<td>&gt;22.6</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>R, T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>R, T, IB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>&gt;21.9</td>
<td>&gt;21.9</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>R, T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>&gt;21.2</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>R, T, IB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>R. GBM</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>IB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>R, T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>R. GBM</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>DO</td>
<td>IB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>R. GBM</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>T, IB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>&gt;17.3</td>
<td>&gt;17.3</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>R, T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>R. GBM</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>DO</td>
<td>IB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>&gt;15.5</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>R, T, IB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>&gt;15</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>R, T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>&gt;14.7</td>
<td>&gt;14.7</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>R, T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>DO</td>
<td>R, T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>R, T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>R. GBM</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>T, IB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>&gt;12.6</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>R, T, IB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>R, T&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>&gt;11</td>
<td>&gt;11</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>R, T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>R. GBM</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>T, IB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>R, T, IB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>&gt;7.5</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>R, T, IB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>DO</td>
<td>IB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>&gt;7.1</td>
<td>&gt;7.1</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>R, T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>&gt;6.4</td>
<td>&gt;6.4</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>R, T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>&gt;6.3</td>
<td>&gt;6.3</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>R, T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>&gt;6.1</td>
<td>&gt;6.1</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>R, T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>&gt;6.0</td>
<td>&gt;6.0</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>R, T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R. GBM Recurrent glioblastoma

<sup>a</sup> Functional location grade according to Sawaya: I non-eloquent brain, II near eloquent, and III eloquent

<sup>b</sup> Status at last follow-up: DD death from disease, DO death from other causes, PD progressive disease, PFS progression-free survival

<sup>c</sup> Adjuvant therapy: R radiotherapy, T temozolomide, IB irinotecan + bevacizumab

<sup>d</sup> Refused all or part of the treatment

<sup>e</sup> Could not tolerate temozolomide

<sup>f</sup> Death of associated problems before adjuvant therapy
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histological diagnosis&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Strong fluorescence*&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; (bright red) n (%)</th>
<th>Vague fluorescence*&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; (pink) n (%)</th>
<th>No fluorescence*&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; (blue) n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>72 (100%)</td>
<td>5 (6.9%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astrocytic neoplasia (without criteria for grade 4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65 (90.3%)</td>
<td>12 (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (2.8%)</td>
<td>24 (66%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Diagnosis based on Hematoxylin–eosin staining  
* P < 0.001 for the difference between strong and vague fluorescence and between vague and no fluorescence (Mann–Whitney)
Figure 1. The three columns show the different qualities of tissue with fluorescent light. Upper row, surgical microscope photographs of: a bright fluorescence, b vague fluorescence, and c no fluorescence; in lower rows, the corresponding histology (d–f) and ki67 for the same section (g–i).

Figure 2. Ki67 labeling index for the different fluorescent qualities. Bars show mean and CI 95%.
Figure 3. Pre and postoperative MRI of some illustrative cases. From left to right, patient numbers 11, 10 and 3.
**Figure 4.** Kaplan–Meier progression-free survival curve.

**Figure 5.** Kaplan–Meier overall survival curve.