

## TABLE LEGENDS

### **Table 1 Effects of 10-wk intervention with EPA and $\alpha$ -lipoic acid on anthropometry, body composition, RMR, energy intake and energy balance<sup>1</sup>:**

<sup>1</sup>Means  $\pm$  SDs (all unadjusted such values). EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; RMR, resting metabolic rate. For all secondary outcomes the P-values were adjusted by the Benjamini-Hochberg multiple-testing correction (16). Data from all subjects for whom baseline and follow-up measurements were available were included.

<sup>2,3,4</sup>Significantly different from baseline (paired samples t test): <sup>2</sup> $P < 0.001$  <sup>3</sup> $P < 0.01$  <sup>4</sup> $P < 0.05$ .

<sup>5,6,7</sup>Means (SEMs): <sup>5</sup>adjusted for the changes in body weight; <sup>6</sup>adjusted by the age and lean mass; <sup>7</sup>adjusted by energy intake at baseline.

<sup>8</sup>Differences between groups at baseline and in changes (10 wk – before) were evaluated by 2-way ANOVA ( $P < 0.05$ ; ns, non-significant). No significant differences between groups were found in secondary outcomes after the adjustment by Benjamini-Hochberg.

### **Table 2 Effects of 10-wk intervention with EPA and $\alpha$ -lipoic acid on glucose metabolism, $\beta$ -hydroxybutyrate, leptin and ghrelin<sup>1</sup>:**

<sup>1</sup>Means  $\pm$  SDs (all unadjusted such values). EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid. For all secondary outcomes the P-values were adjusted by the Benjamini-Hochberg multiple-testing correction (16).

<sup>2,3,4</sup>Significantly different from baseline in normally distributed samples (paired samples t test): <sup>2</sup> $P < 0.001$  <sup>3</sup> $P < 0.01$  <sup>4</sup> $P < 0.05$ .

<sup>5,6,7</sup>Significantly different from baseline in non-normally distributed variables (Wilcoxon's test): <sup>5</sup> $P < 0.001$  <sup>6</sup> $P < 0.01$  <sup>7</sup> $P < 0.05$ .

<sup>8,9,10</sup>Means (SEMs): <sup>8</sup>adjusted for the changes in body weight; <sup>9</sup>adjusted changes for the insulin levels at baseline; <sup>10</sup>adjusted by ghrelin levels at baseline.

<sup>11</sup>Differences between groups at baseline and in changes (10 wk – before) were evaluated by 2-way

ANOVA ( $P < 0.05$ ; ns, non-significant). \*Statistically significant differences between groups after the adjustment by Benjamini-Hochberg. When a significant interaction between groups was found ( $P < 0.05$ ) it was performed an unpaired samples t test, means that do not share a common superscript letter in a horizontal line were significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

## FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1 Flowchart of participants:** Of the 103 randomized women who met the inclusion criteria, finally 97 started the allocated intervention, of these, 20 participants (21%) did not completed the study since they discontinued the follow-up because of unexpected health problems (n=4), withdrew from the study and did not came to all visits (n=15) or noncompliant of the assignment treatment (n=1). The dropout rates were 29% (n=9), 10% (n=2), 13% (n=3) and 26% (n=6) for the Control, EPA,  $\alpha$ -lipoic acid and EPA plus  $\alpha$ -lipoic acid groups, respectively and no significant statistical differences were found in the dropout rates, after the X2 statistic test. For the analysis of biochemical variables, 4 volunteers (1 of Control group, 2 of EPA group and 1 of  $\alpha$ -lipoic acid group) were excluded due to problems with blood collection. Similarly in the analysis of the OGTT, 9 volunteers (3 of Control group, 3 of EPA, 2 of  $\alpha$ -lipoic acid group and 1 of EPA +  $\alpha$ -lipoic acid group) were excluded because of incomplete data as a result of complications during the intravenous blood collection.

**Figure 2 Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) plasma glucose (a and b) and insulin (c and d) during the 2-h 75-g OGTT:** Data from all subjects for whom baseline and follow-up measurements were available are included (In the glucose and insulin incremental area under curve (iAUC): Control, n= 19; EPA, n= 15;  $\alpha$ -lipoic acid, n= 18; EPA +  $\alpha$ -lipoic acid, n= 16). Solid lines represent pre-study values; dotted lines represent post-study values. (a and c) The comparisons in each group before and after the nutritional intervention were assessed by Wilcoxon's test (\*\* $P < 0.01$ , \* $P < 0.05$ ). (b and d) Differences between groups in the changes of the iAUC for glucose and insulin were evaluated by two-way ANOVA and no significant differences were observed ( $P > 0.05$ ).

<sup>1</sup>Adjusting by body weight loss and baseline values.